

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,598	07/03/2003	Kenichi Takano	HT03-004	7267
GEORGE O. SAILE 28 DAVIS AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			CHEN, TIANJIE	
POUGHKEEPSIE, NY 12603			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
	•		MAIL DATE .	DELIVERY MODE
			05/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/613,598	TAKANO, KENICHI		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Tianjie Chen	2627		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 M</u> .	action is non-final.			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/613,598

Art Unit: 2627

## Final Rejection (RCE)

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. Claims 1, 5, 17, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gill (US 6,822836).

Claims 1 and 17: Gill shows a method in Figs. 3 and 4 to enhance stability of a free layer/or a magnetic read head having a free layer with enhanced stability and signal strength (Column 2, lines 21-240), while retaining free layer signal strength, in a magnetic read head, including:

providing a pair of opposing permanent (hard) magnet layers 88 (Fig. 3, column 3, lines 57-58) separated by a first gap and magnetized in a first direction 150 (Fig. 4; column 5, lines 50-54), that abut the free layer 68, and do not overlap the free layer 68 (Fig. 3) directly, do not overlap the free layer 68 through direct connection to another magnetic material, and also do not overlap the free layer 68 in any other ways, thereby providing longitudinal bias thereto;

forming, at a distance above the permanent magnet layers 88, a pair of opposing additional bias layers 142 that are separated by a second gap that is less than the first gap; and

Application/Control Number: 10/613,598

Art Unit: 2627

then magnetizing the additional bias layers in a second direction 164 (Fig. 4) that is antiparallel to the first direction 150.

Claims 5 and 21; Gill shows that distance above/or below the permanent magnet layer is the sum of the thickness of layers 138 (0.8 nm), layer 134 (8 nm), and layer 130 (5 nm), which is 13.8 nm = 138 Angstroms.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 9, 13, 25, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gill in view of Nagasaka et al (US 2003/0123200).

Claims 9 and 25: as described above, Gill shows a method to enhance stability of a free layer/or a magnetic read head having a free layer with enhanced stability and signal strength, while retaining free layer signal strength, in a magnetic read head, including: providing a pair of opposing permanent magnet layers separated by a first gap and magnetized in a first direction, that abut, and do not overlap the free layer 68 (Fig. 3) directly, do not overlap the free layer 68 through direct connection to another magnetic material, and also do not overlap the free layer 68 in any other ways, and thereby providing longitudinal bias thereto; forming, at a distance above the permanent magnet layers, a pair of opposing additional bias layers that are separated by a second gap that is less than the first gap; and then magnetizing the additional bias layers in a second direction that is antiparallel to the first direction.

Gill does not show that the additional bias layers are below the permanent magnetic layers.

Page 4

Nagasaka et al shows that in a magnetoresistive head the layers can be stacked in a forward direction (top-type layers) and also can be stacked in a backward direction (bottom-type layers) ([0053]). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include the backward-stacked configuration as an alternative in Gill's device. In thus constructed device, the additional bias layers are below the permanent magnetic layers.

Claims 13 and 29, Gill shows that distance above/or below the permanent magnet layer is the sum of the thickness of layers 138 (0.8 nm), layer 134 (8 nm), and layer 130 (5 nm), which is 13.8 nm = 138 Angstroms.

3. Claims 2-5, 6-8, 10-12,14-16, 18-20, 22-24, 26-28, and 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gill/or Gill and Nagasaka et al in view of Fukui et al (US 2004/0047087).

Claims 2, 10, 18, and 26; Gill/ or combination of Gill and Nagasaka et al does not show coercivity in detail.

Fukui et al further shows a magnetic head wherein the additional bias layer is CoFe/IrMn ([0044] line 9) whereby it has good exchange coupling field with antiferromagnetic layers. Fukui et al further shows that the permanent magnet layer should have coercivity of 2 KOe ([0029] lines 3-4). And the resulted coercivity is the difference between the coercivity of the permanent magnet and the coercivity of the additional bias layer. In instance case, the resulted coercivity is 1 KOe ([0029] lines 1-2), the coercivity of the permanent magnet is 2 KOe. Therefore, the effective coercivity

Art Unit: 2627

of the additional bias layer is 2 KOe – 1 KOe = 1 KOe; which is 0.5 times that of the permanent magnetic layer.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply the material having specified coercivity into Gill (and Nagasaka et al)'s device. The rationale is as follows: Fukui et al teaches that in the particular system, the coercivity of the film can be optionally controlled ([0029, line 12-14). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to apply the configuration for controlling the coercivity.

Claims 3, 11, 19, and 27; Gill shows that the layer 120 has a thickness of 6-14 nm (Column 6, lines 58-59, layer 130 has a thickness of 1-5 nm, layer 134 has a thickness of 2-3 nm, and layer 138 has a thickness of about 1 nm. It leads to that layer 142 would have a thickness of 0-8 nm. Gill shows that the permanent magnetic layer has a thickness of the summation of the magnetoresistive element of 54+58+64+92, but does not specify the thickness.

Fukui et al shows a similar magnetoresistive head, wherein the thickness of the magnetoresistive element of layers 100+101+102+103+104 has thickness of 28.8 nm (([0028]). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been applied the thickness specified by Fukui et al into Gill (and Nagasaka et al)'s device. In the resulted device, the additional bias layer is deposited to a thickness that is 0.02 microns (20nm) less than that of the permanent magnet layer.

Claims 4, 12, 20, and 28; Gill does not specify the width of the gap. Fukui et al shows that the first gap and the second gap should be the track width, which are between about 0.1 and 0.2 microns ([0008] lines 1-3; and Fig. 11) and it provides

narrow track having high sensitivity and stability ({0012]). One of ordinary skill in the art would apply this width for getting high sensitivity and stability.

Claims 6-8, 14-16, 22-24, and 30-32: Gill shows that his invention can be used for any GMR magnetic head (Column 8, lines 9-13). Fukui et al shows the magnetic read head is a CIP GMR head/a CPP GMR head/a TMR head ([0049]), which are all GMR head, One of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that Gill's head including all type listed above.

### Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 10/24/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
  - Applicant has argued "In basic grammatical terms, it should now be evident that the subject clause is 'a pair of opposing permanent magnets', the predicate clause is 'that abut and do not overlap', and the object clause is 'said free layer', while 'including a direct connection to another magnetic material' is an adverbial clause that further qualifies the predicate clause." Following Representative's grammatical analysis, the prior art reads claims well as described in rejection presented above.
  - Since above presented rejection is fairly based on Fig. 3 in prior art, and has
    no contradiction with Fig. 5 in Prior art although Fig. 5 has not been recited
    in rejection. Applicant's arguments are found moot.
  - Rejection maintains.

Art Unit: 2627

#### Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tianjie Chen whose telephone number is (703) 305-7499. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30, Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa Nguyen can be reached on (703) 305-9687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,598

Art Unit: 2627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 8

TIANJIE CHEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER